

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/695,765		10/30/2003	Rose M. Anderson	015036-0305709	6913	
909	7590	09/30/2004		EXAMINER		
PILLSBUR	Y WINT	THROP, LLP		MENDIRATTA, VISHU K		
P.O. BOX 1 MCLEAN,		າາ		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WICLEAN,	VA 2210	<i>02</i>		3712		

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			~ <b>l</b> .
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	10/695,765	ANDERSON, ROSE M.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vishu K Mendiratta	3712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	March 2004.		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	rance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examing the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and application the drawing(s) and application the drawing(s) and application the drawing(s) an	awn from consideration.  /or election requirement.	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ection is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap lority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Coo the attached detailed Office action for a lis	or the contined copies not i		
Attachment(s)        Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ∏ (-k)- <b>0</b>	mmon/ (DTO 442)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -	

Application/Control Number: 10/695,765

Art Unit: 3712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Disclosure has numerous errors. For example page 6 lines 3-8, pawns are wrongly characterized as 104. Entire disclosure requires reviewing and correction. Also the terminology between claims and specification should be consistent for definiteness.

Appropriate correction is required.

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the **random number generator** must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement

Application/Control Number: 10/695,765

**Art Unit: 3712** 

Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 8-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the absence of proper environment/apparatus and proper sequential method steps it is not possible to practice a method. It is not clear what playing method is being claimed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Small (3892408) in view of Ex.parte Breslow 192 USPQ 431.

Small teaches a game board with spaces and indicia on spaces (dollar amounts printed on spaces), game chips (Fig.8) also printed with dollar figures on them, pawns and

Application/Control Number: 10/695,765

Art Unit: 3712

markers with indicia (Fig.3-4), decks of cards (Fig.6-7) with cards having indicia that can be interpreted as pranks/numbers (T9, R6) and instructions (80), random number generator (Fig.1), portals (four corners of board), four sets of two rows of spaces as pascal triangle.

The only difference between cited game and applicant's game resides in meaning and information conveyed by the printed matter on cards is not considered as patentable difference Ex. Parte Breslow 192 USPQ 431.

The only difference between cited cards and applicant's cards resides in meaning and information of pranks and instructions conveyed by the printed matter is not considered patentable.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested placed any prank/instruction on cards to attract players.

Further limitations such as chips, pawns and markers are open to broad interpretations.

7. Claims 8, 9,12,13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ludwick (4938482).

Ludwick teaches a player landing and collecting points (3:51-52), redeeming points for playing pieces (4:17-18).

Ludwick teaches all limitations except that it does not teach redeeming points for cards. In order to make the game interesting, it would have been obvious to make variations in awards. One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested awarding cards for accumulating certain points.

Art Unit: 3712

# Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 10-11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishu K Mendiratta whose telephone number is (703) 306-5695. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris H Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vishu K Mendiratta Primary Examiner Art Unit 3712

VKM September 28, 2004